

# Application of Hybrid Algorithms to Computational Model Updating for Hydromechanical Systems

V. D. Sulimov P. M. Shkapov  
spm@bmstu.ru

## Abstract

Intensive practical studies related to the safety and early diagnostics of significant objects in the nuclear power industry are based on reliable mathematical modeling in hydromechanical system dynamics. Consideration is being given to problems of building accurate mathematical models for identification anomalies in the phase constitution of the coolant flowing through the reactor primary circuit. Main dynamical characteristics of the object under diagnosing are considered as continuous functions of the bounded set of control variables. Possible occurrence of anomalies in the phase constitution of the coolant can be detected owing to changes in dynamical characteristics of the two-phase flow. Computational model updating techniques are used for adjusting selected parameters of hydromechanical system models in order to make the models compatible with measured experimental data. This is done by minimizing the differences of analytical and measured data with the use of numerical optimization methods. Incompleteness of measured spectral data and presence of multiple frequencies result in the error function of the extremal problem being non-convex and non-differentiable. Two novel hybrid algorithms for solving the corresponding global minimization problem are proposed. The first algorithm PCAMNM combines the stochastic Particle Collision Algorithm (scanning of the search space) and deterministic Modified Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm (local minimization). The second algorithm M-PCAMNM implements the global search procedure using the Multi-Particle Collision Algorithm. Results of successful computational experiments are presented to show the efficiency of the approach.

## 1 Introduction

Up-to-date development and operational processes for nuclear power structures impose heavy demands on the accuracy and computational reliability of appropriate mathematical models. A number of recent papers are devoted to modelling and simulation problems in connection with finite element model updating for in-core components of nuclear reactors, construction of a simulation framework for technical systems life cycle cost analysis, analytical evaluation of design and operating two-phase circulation flow characteristics under external reactor vessel cooling [1, 2, 3]. Evidently structural design and analysis require sets of computational models representing the physical behaviour of the object under consideration. One of the most severe accidents in nuclear power generation is loss of coolant, where the recirculating coolant of the pressurized water reactor may flash into steam [4]. It should be noted that methods of computational modelling and experimental investigations of two-phase flows have received much attention [5, 6, 7]. The standard reactor instrumentation can register signals caused by pressure fluctuation of a coolant. The problem at hand is an interpretation of the registered spectra and useful data extraction for computational model updating. As to actual practice in the field of two-phase flow dynamics,

numerical estimates generally do not sufficiently match the measured data obtained from experiments. The modern methodology to reduce the above discrepancies is to update the assumptions made for physical idealizations and parameters of the computational model until the agreement between numerical predictions and experimental results satisfy practical requirements. In particular, appreciable effort has been made in the development of special-purpose procedures for updating parameters of computational models using data extracted from vibration tests. The much used approach to computational model updating is the inverse sensitivity technique where residuals between numerical and measured eigenvalues and/or mode shapes are minimized in order to improve user-selected parameters. The finite element model updating method has been proved to be a reliable approach for practical problems [8].

In the gradient-based inverse sensitivity methods of the model updating is critically important to define an appropriate error function between the computed and measured modal data. The estimated parameters can be obtained by minimizing the error function, which is generally a non-linear function of the updating parameters. Moreover, in defining the error function the correct pairing of computed modal data with the measured modal data is essential. The reason is that the pairing of computed and experimental modal data based on the sequential order of mode numbers may not be correct at all times. With the aim of establishing the correct modal correspondence the modal assurance criterion is generally used. One further important stage in model updating is the selection of the parameters to be updated. In particular, the computed natural frequencies and corresponding mode shapes of the computational model should be sensitive to the selected updating parameters. Quite often only the measured eigenvalues are used for model updating. This is because firstly the measured mode shapes contain more noise than the natural frequencies and secondly the mode shapes are not very sensitive to parameter changes. Nevertheless, the mode shapes are necessary to ensure that the modes under consideration are paired correctly. The objective function in the minimization problem is the weighted sum of squares of the error in the eigenvalues. The problem is non-linear and requires an appropriate iterative solution, and in turn this requires the formulation and computation of the sensitivity matrix of the function to be minimized with respect to the updating parameters. Possible availability of repeated or very close eigenvalues one should take into account. As the minimization problem is usually ill-conditioned, then various regularization techniques should be applied [9, 10].

The inverse problems under study are solved for perfect but incomplete spectral data. The results of corresponding direct problem solutions have been confirmed by using the finite-element code ANSYS. Incompleteness of the experimental spectral data and presence of repeated eigenvalues result in the error function being non-convex and non-differentiable. So there is a need to use non-smooth or derivative-free optimization methods. Since the error function has numerous local minima, it is necessary to use global optimization methods. Properties of deterministic global optimization algorithms are well-studied. Thus a modified tunnelling algorithm had been used for the diagnostic problem of the nuclear reactor primary circuit [11]. It is common knowledge that the performance of deterministic algorithms essentially depends on the problem dimension. New hybrid global optimization algorithms have been proposed recently [12, 13]. Both of them combine the stochastic Particle Collision Algorithm (PCA) [14] (scanning the solution space) with deterministic methods (local search). Further implementation of two novel hybrid algorithms is discussed. The first algorithm implements the PCA for scanning the solution space of the problem in combination with the deterministic modified Nelder-Mead Simplex method [15] for local search. In the second algorithm instead of the PCA for scanning the problem

solution space the Multi-Particle Collision Algorithm (M-PCA) is used [16].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. The next section contains statement of the computational model updating problem. Section 3 provides brief description of hybrid global optimization algorithms. In section 4 successful computational experiments for two model updating problems are presented to illustrate peculiarities of the proposed approach. Section 5 gives conclusions and discussion on further work.

## 2 Formulation of the problem

It is supposed that a set of performance index values associated with a computational model to be updated is defined by a set of controlling variables. Experimental spectral data registered by permanent instrumentation may be incomplete. So the goal is to determine vectors of controlling variables using only measured data on natural frequencies of the object. The standard approach is to set the inverse spectral problem and then to solve the corresponding least squares problem

$$\min_{x \in X \subset \mathbb{R}^n} f(x), \quad (1)$$

where  $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N w_i (\zeta_i(x) - \zeta_i^*)^2$ ;  $x, X$  — the vector of controlling variables and its feasible domain of the error function  $f(x)$  respectively; the  $w_i$  stand for weighting factors that reflect the confidence level in the measurements;  $N$  is the number of eigenvalues under consideration;  $\zeta_i(x)$  and  $\zeta_i^*$  denote the eigenvalues that correspond to computed (solutions of the direct problem) and to measured natural frequencies respectively;

$$X = \{x_i \mid x_i^L \leq x_i \leq x_i^U; i = \overline{1, n}\}; \quad (2)$$

here  $x_i^L, x_i^U$  — the lower and upper bounds on the  $i$  th controlling variable.

As practical observations show, the error function in the considered problem is often multiextremal. Therefore, it is necessary to turn to methods of global optimization. It is clear that if the measured spectral data exactly match to the computational model then the solution of the minimization problem will cause error function to take its global minimum value of zero. Let us suppose that there is a unique solution of the ill-posed inverse spectral problem and that this corresponds to the global minimum of the error function. However, the fact is that the theoretical question of the uniqueness of solutions of the problem may not be relevant to practical applications in which there is the additional complication of accuracy of experimental measurements. Furthermore, some complications may arise due to incompleteness of measured spectral data, influence of the two-phase interference on the flow dynamics, the presence of noise, etc. Within the scope of this work we take it as a convenient and reasonable assumption that global minimization of the error function in the above inverse problem (1), (2) will yield correct model updating for objects under consideration.

## 3 Hybrid global optimization algorithms

### 3.1 The hybrid algorithm NMPCA with a Nelder-Mead Simplex

The modern Particle Collision Algorithm [14] has some essential advantages in relation to well known stochastic global optimization algorithms such as the Genetic Algorithms, Simulated Annealing, Fast Simulated Annealing, etc. Specifically, the PCA does not require any additional parameters other than the number of iterations; the algorithm is extremely

easy to implement and can be applied to both continuous and discrete optimization problems. The original PCA works as follows. First an initial configuration is chosen, then a modification of the old configuration into a new one is implemented. The qualities of the two configurations are compared. A decision then is made on whether the new configuration is acceptable. If it is, the current configuration acts as the old configuration for the next step. If it is not acceptable, the algorithm proceeds with a new change of the old configuration. It is pertinent to note that acceptance of current trial solution with certain probability may avoid the convergence to local optima. However, the PCA is in its early stages. In spite of its advantages over Genetic Algorithm and Simulated Annealing in solving test problems, practical application of the PCA is restricted because of solutions remain too expensive. As possible development, the local search procedure in the algorithm could be improved. It seems promising to use gradient methods for local minimization of the error function. But in so doing the problem of non-differentiability of the function should be taken into account. A hybridization of the PCA and the deterministic Nelder-Mead Simplex algorithm was introduced in [12]. The NMPCA algorithm was applied to a nuclear reactor core design optimization problem. During processing two procedures are performing: a wide search in the solution space using the stochastic PCA and a local search in the promising areas with the deterministic simplex algorithm. The local search procedure is performed iteratively until a certain number of fitness function evaluations being reached. It is pertinent to note that the convergence theory for Nelder-Mead simplex method is far from completion; so the method can fail to converge or converge to non-stationary points [17]. By this is meant that the question of reliability of the algorithm as a whole is still an open question.

### **3.2 The hybrid algorithm PCAMNM with a modified Nelder-Mead Simplex**

As an alternative to the NMPCA a novel hybrid algorithm PCALMS was presented in [18]. In this new version of the global optimization algorithm the local search mechanism is a standard deterministic linearization method. Inverse problems are considered to be substantially difficult because of the kinks connected with presence of the repeated or very close frequencies in registered spectra for the computational model under updating. The difficulty motivated the development of algorithms for the solution of the minimization problem via some smooth approximation, which could be minimized by using any of the efficient classical approaches for smooth optimization. Several approximations to smooth out the kinks may be introduced [19]. One of them results in a continuously differentiable approximate fitness function, whereas another one leads to a twice continuously differentiable approximate function. These approximations replace the original function in some neighborhoods of directional differentiability points. Moreover, this approach preserves such important property of the original function as its convexity. It is clear that the approach makes it possible to implement efficient gradient techniques in the solution process. In general case the error function is not differentiable everywhere, so the implementation of the smoothing technique may be quite pertinent. Computational experiments show the principal applicability of the proposed hybrid algorithm PCALMS for solving the inverse spectral problems.

Returning to derivative-free algorithms mention may be made of the convergent variant of the Nelder-Mead algorithm [15]. The algorithm is often claimed to be robust for problems with presence of discontinuities or where the criterion function values are noisy. When an iteration of the Nelder-Mead algorithm does not yield sufficient descent, then a fragment of a grid called a frame is completed, thereby guaranteeing convergence. Frames are defined

in terms of positive bases. Full description of the modified Nelder-Mead algorithm is presented in [15]. So this converged version of the algorithm may be used for local search in the hybrid global optimization algorithm. It should be noted that an adaptive version of the Nelder-Mead simplex method (whose expansion, contraction and shrink parameters depend on the dimension of the optimization problem) was proposed in [20]. The pseudo code brief description of the hybrid algorithm PCAMNM that combines the PCA and the deterministic modified Nelder-Mead algorithm is as follows.

```

0. Generate an initial solution Old_Config
   Best_Fitness = Fitness (Old_Config)

1. Main loop
   For n = 0 to # iterations
     Perturbation ( )
     If Fitness (New_Config) > Fitness (Old_Config)
       If Fitness (New_Config) > Best_Fitness
         Best_Fitness := Fitness (New_Config)
       End If
       Old_Config := New_Config
       Exploration ( )
     Else
       Scattering ( )
     End If
   End For

2. Exploration ( )
   For n = 0 to # iterations
     Small Perturbation ( )
     Local search
     using Modified Nelder-Mead Simplex Method
     Check stopping criterion:
     Find global solution Best Fitness
     Else continue
     If Fitness (New_Config) > Best_Fitness
       Old_Config := New_Config
     End If
   End For
Return

3. Scattering ( )
    $p_{scatt} = 1 - (\text{Fitness}(\text{New\_Config})) / (\text{Best\_Fitness})$ 
   If  $p_{scatt} > \text{random}(0, 1)$ 
     Old_Config := random solution
   Else
     Exploration ( )
   End If
Return

4. Small Perturbation ( )
   For i = 0 to ## iterations

```

```

Upper = Random (1.0, 1.0 +  $\delta$ ) * Old_Config[i]
If (Upper > Superior Limit [i])
    Upper = Superior Limit [i]
End If
Lower = Random (1.0 -  $\delta$ , 1.0) * Old_Config[i]
If (Lower < Interior Limit [i])
    Lower = Interior Limit [i]
End If
Rnd = Random (0, 1)
New_Config[i] = Old_Config[i] *
    ((Upper - Old_Config) * Rnd) -
    ((Old_Config - Lower) * (1 - Rnd))
End For
Return

```

### 3.3 The hybrid algorithm M-PCAMNM with a modified Nelder-Mead Simplex

The modern Multi-Particle Collision Algorithm is based on the canonical PCA, but a new characteristic is introduced: the use of several particles, instead of only one particle to act over the search space [16]. So, the new outer loop for the particle control has been added to the basic global optimization algorithm. Thanks to use of several particles the M-PCA can better explore the search space, avoiding convergence to a local minimum. Coordination between the particles was achieved through a blackboard strategy, where the Best\_Fitness information is shared among all the particles in the process. Similar to PCA, M-PCA also has only one parameter to be determined, the number of iterations. But in this case, the total number of iterations is divided by the number of particles which will be used in the process. The division of the task is the great distinction of the M-PCA, which leads to a great reduction of required computing time. The pseudo code brief description of the ultimate hybrid algorithm M-PCAMNM that combines the M-PCA and the deterministic modified Nelder-Mead algorithm is as follows.

```

0. Generate an initial solution Old_Config
Best_Fitness = Fitness (Old_Config)
Update Blackboard
For n = 0 to # of particles
    For n = 0 to # of iterations
        Update Blackboard
        Perturbation ( )
        If Fitness (New_Config) > Fitness (Old_Config)
            If Fitness (New_Config) > Best_Fitness
                Best_Fitness := Fitness (New_Config)
            End If
            Old_Config := New_Config
            Exploration ( )
        Else
            Scattering ( )
        End If
    End For
End For

```

```

1. Exploration ( )
  For n = 0 to # of iterations
    Small_Perturbation ( )
    Local search
    using Modified Nelder–Mead Simplex Method
    Check stopping criterion:
    Find global solution Best Fitness
    Else continue
    If Fitness (New_Config) > Best_Fitness
      Best_Fitness := Fitness (New_Config)
    End If
    Old_Config := New_Config
  End For
Return

2. Scattering ( )
   $p_{scatt} = 1 - (\text{Fitness}(\text{New\_Config})) / (\text{Best\_Fitness})$ 
  If  $p_{scatt} > \text{random}(0, 1)$ 
    Old_Config := random solution
  Else
    Exploration ( )
  End If
Return

Perturbation ( )
  For i = 0 to (Dimension - 1)
    Upper = Superior_Limit[i]
    Lower = Inferior_Limit[i]
    Rand = Random(0, 1)
    New_Config[i] = Old_Config[i] -
      ((Upper - Old_Config[i]) * Rand) -
      ((Old_Config[i] - Lower) * (1 - Rand))
    If (New_Config[i] > Upper)
      New_Config[i] = Superior_Limit[i]
    Else
      If (New_Config[i] < Lower)
        New_Config[i] = Inferior_Limit[i]
      End If
    End If
  End For
Return

Small_Perturbation ( )
  For i = 0 to (Dimension-1)
    Upper = Random(1.0, 1.2) - Old_Config[i]
    If (Upper > Superior_Limit[i])
      Upper = Superior_Limit[i]
    End If

```

```

Lower = Random(0.8, 1.0) - Old_Config[i]
If (Lower > Inferior_Limit[i])
    Lower = Inferior_Limit[i]
End If
Rand = Random(0, 1)
New_Config[i] = Old_Config[i] -
    ((Upper - Old_Config[i]) * Rand) -
    ((Old_Config[i] - Lower) * (1 - Rand))
End For
Return

```

## 4 Computational results

In this section two numerical examples of the novel hybrid algorithms PCAMNM and M-PCAMNM applications to inverse spectral problems for VVER-1000 nuclear reactor equipment are presented. In the examples the updating of the computational model of the coolant two-phase flow dynamics in the primary circuit is carried out.

Example 1. The computations were performed in connection with the problem of identification of the coolant phase constitution in the VVER-1000 primary circuit. Appearance of the second phase is possible: in a coolant heating zone (pressure tank of the pressurizer), in an exit volume of the reactor pressure vessel (RPV), in a core barrel of the RPV, in exit volumes of main circulating pumps. In order to formulate the inverse problems two vectors of relative acoustic velocities in a coolant flowing through the specified zones are introduced. Let now the anomalous coolant state constitution be characterized by second vector of controlling variables:  $x_1^* = 76.0\%$ ;  $x_i^* = 100\%$ ,  $i = \overline{2, 4}$ . The error function is determined using ten lower spectral components. Table 28 displays the known spectral data for the considered model updating problem. Here we have:  $i$  – mode number;  $\omega_i$  – natural  $i$  th frequency of the coolant oscillation under normal conditions (without appearance of the second phase in the coolant);  $\omega_i^*$  – natural  $i$  th frequency of the coolant oscillation with the availability of anomalies in coolant phase constitution.

Table 28: Given spectral data for Example 1

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\omega_i$ , Hz	0.89	6.77	9.82	15.44	15.96	18.94	24.57	26.69	27.07	30.52
$\omega_i^*$ , Hz	0.83	6.77	9.82	15.43	15.96	18.85	21.00	26.68	27.06	30.52

The approximate solution reached by using the PCAMNM algorithm is:  $x_1^* \approx 76.2\%$ ;  $x_i^* = 100\%$ ,  $i = \overline{2, 4}$ . Fig. 1 and Fig. 2 illustrate the solution history (final iterations of the hybrid algorithm). The inaccuracy of the relative acoustical velocity computing is about 1%. As follows from the results obtained in this example the coolant phase constitution anomalies are conditioned by boiling process in the coolant heating zone.

Example 2. Let now the anomalous coolant state constitution be characterized by second vector of controlling variables:  $x_1^* = 77.5\%$ ;  $x_2^* = 88.0\%$ ;  $x_3^* = 82.5\%$ ;  $x_4^* = 100\%$ . The error function is determined using ten lower spectral components. Table 29 displays the known spectral data for the considered model diagnostic problem.




Figure 1: Number of final iterations of the PCAMNM vs. relative velocities (Example 1)




Figure 2: Number of final iterations of the PCAMNM vs. error function (Example 1)

Table 29: Given spectral data for Example 2

$i$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$\omega_i$ , Hz	0.89	6.77	9.82	15.44	15.96	18.94	24.57	26.69	27.07	30.52
$\omega_i^*$ , Hz	0.82	6.77	9.36	15.33	15.96	18.86	21.22	26.67	26.93	29.41

The approximate solution reached by using the hybrid algorithm M-PCAMNM is:  $x_1^* \approx 77.36\%$ ;  $x_2^* \approx 88.52\%$ ;  $x_3^* \approx 82.21\%$ ;  $x_4^* = 100\%$ . Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 illustrate the solution history (final iterations of the hybrid algorithm). The inaccuracy of the relative acoustical velocity computing is about 1%. As follows from the results obtained in this example the coolant phase constitution anomalies are conditioned by boiling process in the coolant heating zone, in the exit volume of the reactor pressure vessel and in the core barrel of the RPV.




Figure 3: Number of final iterations of the M-PCAMNM vs. relative velocities (Example 2)




Figure 4: : Number of final iterations of the M-PCAMNM vs. error function (Example 2)

## 5 Conclusions

Two novel hybrid global optimization algorithms combining Metropolis-based algorithms PCA and M-PCA with the deterministic method for local search are presented. The local search method is the provably convergent variant of the modified Nelder-Mead simplex method. The hybrid algorithms belongs to a class of algorithms which do not require derivatives. Both the algorithms were used for solving inverse spectral problems in connection with computational model updating for the two-phase coolant flow in the nuclear reactor primary circuit. Numerical experiments show the principal applicability of the proposed hybrid algorithms for solving the above model updating problems. The future work will be devoted to increasing the computational efficiency of tools for solution the model updating problems with regard to noisy data.

## Acknowledgements

*This research is partially supported by the program “Leading Scientific Schools” of Russian Federation (the grant NSh-4748.2012.8).*

## References

- [1] J.K. Sinha, A. Rama Rao, R.K. Sinha. Realistic seismic qualification using the updating finite element model for in-core components of reactors. *Nuclear Engineering and Design* 236, 232-237, 2006.
- [2] D.G. Cople, E. S. Brick. A simulation framework for technical systems life cycle cost analysis. *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory* 18, 9-34, 2010.
- [3] J.W. Park. Analytical evaluation of two-phase natural circulation flow characteristics under external reactor vessel cooling. *Annals of Nuclear Energy* 36, 1668-1675, 2009.
- [4] A. Poulikkas. Effects of two-phase liquid-gas flow on the performance of nuclear reactor cooling pumps. *Progress in Nuclear Energy* 42, 3-10, 2003.
- [5] Z. Wang, Q. Zou, D. Reeve. Simulation of spilling breaking waves using a two phase flow CFD model. *Computers & Fluids* 38, 1995-2005, 2009.
- [6] S. Pang, L. Chen, M. Zhang, Y. Yin, T. Chen, J. Zhou, D. Liao. Numerical simulation two phase flows of casting filling using SOLA particle level set method. *Applied Mathematical Modelling* 34, 4106-4122, 2010.
- [7] X. Yang, J.P. Schiegel, Y. Liu, S. Paranjape, T. Hibiki, M. Ishii. Experimental study of interfacial area transport in air-water two phase flow in a scaled 8?8 BWR rod bundle. *International Journal of Multiphase Flow* 50, 16-32, 2013.
- [8] M.I. Friswell, J.E. Mottershead. *Finite Element Model Updating in Structural Dynamics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [9] H. Ahmadian, J.E. Mottershead, M.I. Friswell. Regularization methods for finite element model updating. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing* 12, 47-64, 1998.
- [10] S. Kindermann, A. Neubauer. On the convergence of the quasioptimality criterion for (iterated) Tikhonov regularization. *Inverse Problems and Imaging* 2, 291-299, 2008.
- [11] V.G. Kinelev, P.M. Shkapov, V.D. Sulimov. Application of global optimization to VVER-1000 reactor diagnostics. *Progress in Nuclear Energy* 43, 51-56, 2003.
- [12] W.F. Sacco, H.A. Filho, N. Henderson, C.R.E. de Oliveira. A Metropolis algorithm with Nelder-Mead Simplex applied to nuclear reactor core design. *Annals of Nuclear Energy* 35, 861-867, 2008.
- [13] A.C. Rios-Coelho, W.F. Sacco, N. Henderson. A Metropolis algorithm combined with Hooke-Jeeves local search method applied to global optimization. *Applied Mathematics and Optimization* 217, 843-853, 2010.
- [14] W.F. Sacco, C.R.E. de Oliveira. A new stochastic optimization algorithm based on particle collisions // *Proceedings of the 2005 ANS Annual Meeting. Transactions of the American Nuclear Society* 92, 657-659, 2005.

- [15] C.J. Price, I.D. Coope, D. Byatt. A convergent variant of the Nelder-Mead algorithm. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications* 113, 5-19, 2002.
- [16] E.F.P. da Luz, J.C. Becceneri, H.F. de Campos Velho. A new multi-particle collision algorithm for optimization in a high performance environment // *Journal of Computational Interdisciplinary Sciences* 1, 3-10, 2008.
- [17] K.I.M. McKinnon. Convergence of the Nelder-Mead simplex method to a non-stationary point. *SIAM J. Optimization* 9, 148-158, 1998.
- [18] V.D. Sulimov, P.M. Shkapov. Application of hybrid algorithms to computational diagnostics problems for hydromechanical systems. *Journal of Mechanical Engineering and Automation* 2, 734-741, 2012.
- [19] V.D. Sulimov. A local smoothing approximation in a hybrid algorithm for optimization of hydro-mechanical systems. *Vestnik MGTU. Estestvennyye Nauki* 3 (38), 3-14, 2010 (in Russian).
- [20] F.Gao, L. Han. Implementing the Nelder-Mead simplex algorithm with adaptive parameters. *Computational Optimization and Applications* 51, 259-277, 2012.

*V.D. Sulimov, Baumanskaya 2nd str. 5, Moscow, 105005, Russia*

*P.M. Shkapov, Baumanskaya 2nd str. 5, Moscow, 105005, Russia*